

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,779	02/18/2004	Hirotaka Niiya	3693-50	1108
23117 7.	590 11/22/2005		EXAMINER	
	ANDERHYE, PC LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LOOR	CHEN, WEN	YING PATTY
ARLINGTON, VA 22203		2001	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2871	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		m h	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/779,779	NIIYA, HIROTAKA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this accomplished	Wen-Ying P. Chen	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	i the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. .136(a). In no event, however, may a report of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	September 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	·		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	for election requirement		
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
,—			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	-4- b b		
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		unlication No	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
application from the International Bure	-		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.	
Attachment(s)	∆ □		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/05.	8) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _·	

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed Sept. 20, 2005 has been received and entered. Claims 7-15 are newly added per the Amendment. Claims 1-15 are now pending in the current application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 5-7, 11 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ha et al. (US 2002/0113927).

With respect to claim 1 (Amended): Ha et al. disclose in Figure 7 a semi-transmissive display apparatus, in which a plurality of pixels, each including a transmissive region (region corresponding to element 341) and a reflective region, are arranged in a matrix pattern, the apparatus comprising:

a device substrate including, for each of the plurality of pixels, a transparent pixel electrode (element 200) provided in at least the transmissive region, a reflective plate (element 181) provided in the reflective region, and a switching device (element T);

a counter substrate including a common electrode (element 330) and opposing the device substrate; and

Art Unit: 2871

a display layer (element 350) interposed between the device substrate and the counter substrate, wherein the device substrate is provided with a color filter (element 191),

an insulating layer (element 250) provided over at least a substantial part of the switching device (element T) so as to be provided between the switching device and the reflective plate (element 181), and wherein no portion of the reflective plate extends below an upper surface of the insulating layer.

As to claim 2: Ha et al. further disclose in Figure 7 that the transparent electrode (element 200) is provided closer to the display layer (element 350) than the color filter (element 191) so as to cover the color filter, whereas the reflective plate (element 181) is provided farther away from the display layer than the color filter and the transparent electrode so as to cover the switching device (element T).

As to claim 5: Ha et al. further disclose in Figure 7 that the reflective plate (element 181) is electrically connected to neither the switching device (element T) nor the transparent electrode (element 200).

As to claim 6: Ha et al. further disclose in Figure 7 that the switching device (element T) is provided farther away from the display layer (element 350) than the color filter (element 191); and the transparent electrode (element 200) is electrically connected to the switching device (element T) via a contact hole formed in the color filter.

As to claim 7 (New): Ha et al. further disclose in Figure 7 that the reflective plate (element 181) is not electrically connected to the switching device (element T) and is not electrically connected to the transparent electrode (element 200).

Art Unit: 2871

As to claim 11 (New): Ha et al. disclose in Figure 7 a semi-transmissive display apparatus, in which a plurality of pixels, each including a transmissive region (region corresponding to element 341) and a reflective region, are arranged in a matrix pattern, the apparatus comprising:

a device substrate including, for each of the plurality of pixels, a transparent pixel electrode (element 200) provided in at least the transmissive region, a reflective plate (element 181) provided in the reflective region, and a switching device (element T);

a counter substrate including a common electrode (element 330) and opposing the device substrate; and

a display layer (element 350) interposed between the device substrate and the counter substrate, wherein the device substrate is provided with a color filter (element 191), and

wherein the transparent electrode (element 200) is provided closer to the display layer (element 350) than the color filter (element 191) so as to cover the color filter, whereas the reflective plate (element 181) is provided farther away from the display layer than the color filter and the transparent electrode so as to cover the switching device (element T) along the profile of a surface of the switching device.

As to claim 14 (New): Ha et al. further disclose in Figure 7 that the reflective plate (element 181) is electrically connected to neither the switching device (element T) nor the transparent electrode (element 200).

As to claim 15 (New): Ha et al. further disclose in Figure 7 that the switching device (element T) is provided farther away from the display layer (element 350) than the color filter

Art Unit: 2871

(element 191); and the transparent electrode (element 200) is electrically connected to the switching device (element T) via a contact hole formed in the color filter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 3-4, 8-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ha et al. (US 2002/0113927) in view of Ozawa et al. (US 2003/0076464).

With respect to claims 3 and 4: Ha et al. disclose all of the limitations set forth in the previous claims but fail to disclose an interlayer insulating film provided between the color filter and the transparent electrode.

However, Ozawa et al. disclose in Figure 1C a display apparatus comprising an interlayer insulating film (element 6) made of a resin (Paragraph 0065, wherein the film is made of photoresist material, which is typically resin films) provided between the color filter (element 81) and the transparent electrode (element 11) so as to cover the reflective plate (element 4), and a thickness of the interlayer insulating film is determined so that a total optical path length for light traveling through the transmissive region is substantially equal to that for light traveling through the reflective region (Paragraphs 0065 and 0069).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct a semi-transmissive display apparatus as taught by Ha et al. wherein an interlayer insulating film is provided between the color filter and the transparent electrode as taught by Ozawa et al., since Ozawa et al. teach that the thickness adjusting interlayer insulating film helps to adjust the liquid crystal layer thickness between the reflective

Art Unit: 2871

and transmissive regions so that the contrast of the display is improved and thus achieves a high quality color display (Paragraph 0069).

As to claims 8 and 9 (New): Ha et al. disclose in Figure 7 a transflective liquid crystal display apparatus comprising at least one pixel having a transmissive region (region corresponding to element 341) and a reflective region each contributing to display, the apparatus comprising:

an active substrate including, for the pixel, a transparent pixel electrode (element 200) provided in at least the transmissive region, a reflector (element 181) provided in the reflective region, and a switching device (element T) electrically communicating with the transparent pixel electrode (element 200);

a counter substrate including a common counter electrode (element 330) and opposing the active substrate; and

a display layer (element 350) provided between the active substrate and the counter substrate, wherein the active substrate includes a color filter (element 191) provided in the reflective region and the transmission region of the pixel.

Ha et al. fail to disclose an interlayer insulating film provided between the color filter and the transparent electrode for adjusting the thickness of the liquid crystal layer.

However, However, Ozawa et al. disclose in Figure 1C a display apparatus comprising an interlayer insulating film (element 6) made of a resin (Paragraph 0065, wherein the film is made of photoresist material, which is typically resin films) provided between the color filter (element 81) and the transparent electrode (element 11) so as to cover the reflective plate (element 4) (Paragraphs 0065 and 0069).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct a semi-transmissive display apparatus as taught by Ha et al. wherein an interlayer insulating film is provided between the color filter and the transparent electrode as taught by Ozawa et al., since Ozawa et al. teach that the thickness adjusting interlayer insulating film helps to adjust the liquid crystal layer thickness between the reflective and transmissive regions so that the contrast of the display is improved and thus achieves a high quality color display (Paragraph 0069).

As to claim 10 (New): Ha et al. further disclose in Figure 7 that the reflective plate (element 181) is not electrically connected to the switching device (element T) and is not electrically connected to the transparent electrode (element 200).

As to claims 12 and 13 (New): Ha et al. disclose all of the limitations set forth in claim 11, but fail to disclose an interlayer insulating film provided between the color filter and the transparent electrode.

However, Ozawa et al. disclose in Figure 1C a display apparatus comprising an interlayer insulating film (element 6) made of a resin (Paragraph 0065, wherein the film is made of photoresist material, which is typically resin films) provided between the color filter (element 81) and the transparent electrode (element 11) so as to cover the reflective plate (element 4), and a thickness of the interlayer insulating film is determined so that a total optical path length for light traveling through the transmissive region is substantially equal to that for light traveling through the reflective region (Paragraphs 0065 and 0069).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct a semi-transmissive display apparatus as taught by Ha et al.

Art Unit: 2871

wherein an interlayer insulating film is provided between the color filter and the transparent electrode as taught by Ozawa et al., since Ozawa et al. teach that the thickness adjusting interlayer insulating film helps to adjust the liquid crystal layer thickness between the reflective and transmissive regions so that the contrast of the display is improved and thus achieves a high quality color display (Paragraph 0069).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,779 Page 9

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Ying P. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-8444. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wen-Ying P Chen Examiner Art Unit 2871

WPC 11/17/05

> ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER